

Center
for
Economic Research

Discussion paper

No. 9034

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN GARCH
AND STABLE PROCESSES

by Casper de Vries



No. 9034

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN GARCH
AND STABLE PROCESSES

by Casper de Vries

June 1990

ISSN 0924-7815

ON THE RELATION BETWEEN GARCH AND STABLE PROCESSES*

Casper G. de VRIES
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven

June 1988
Revised November 1989
Second Revision March 1990

ABSTRACT

Stable and GARCH processes have been advocated for modeling financial data. The aim of this note is to compare the two processes. It is shown that the unconditional distribution of variates from a GARCH like process, which explicitly models the clustering of volatility and exhibits the fat tail property as well, can be stable. Given suitable conditions the conditional distributions are stable as well. While it is generally realized that processes with variates that have unconditional non normal stable densities have a high frequency of "outliers", it is less well known that they can exhibit the clustering phenomenon too. The clustering is obtained through stable subordination with conditional scaling.

Mailing address

Center for Economic Studies
K.U.Leuven
E. Van Evenstraat 2B
B - 3000 LEUVEN
Belgium

* I am grateful to Ronny Claeys, Feike Drost, Geert Gielens, Laurens de Haan, Raouf Jaïbi, Teun Kloek, Paul Kofman, Luc Lauwers, Theo Nijman, Peter Schotman, Guoqiang Tian and the comments of four anonymous referees. This work was supported by Texas A & M University, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, and Center for Economic Research. I benefitted from presentations at the E.S.E.M. 1989 in Munich and the graduate seminar at the University of Zürich.

1. INTRODUCTION

The literature on modeling returns on speculative assets consists of two main approaches. One approach only models the unconditional distribution of the returns, while the other approach also takes the conditional distributional aspects into account. The former approach at first hypothesized a Brownian motion, but this proved untenable due to the slowly declining probability mass in the tails of the empirical distribution function (d.f.) of the innovations. In order to account for this phenomenon, Mandelbrot (1963) in a seminal paper proposed to use the other members of the stable class rather than the normal d.f. While there are other fat tailed d.f.'s, the stable d.f.'s are the only d.f.'s which are type invariant under addition (i.e. only the location and scale may change, while the characteristic exponent is constant), which is a desirable property given that returns are time additive¹. The stable model has become a popular model in several areas of economics, see e.g. Westerfield (1977) and Akgiray and Booth (1988). Nevertheless, other fat tailed d.f.'s like the Student-t, see e.g. Blattberg and Gonedes (1974), have been studied because a finite variance is sometimes found to be a characteristic of the data as well [i.e. due to the applicability of the central limit law, see Diebold (1988)]. More recently, discrete mixtures of the normal d.f. and mixed diffusion jump processes are becoming popular, see e.g. Kon (1984) and Tucker and Pond (1988), as these processes exhibit the also observed higher than normal kurtosis. But note that these models do not have the fat tail property due to the exponentially declining tails of their density functions.

¹ Furthermore, due to triangular arbitrage between foreign exchange rates, foreign exchange rate returns are additive as well across different rates.

The other and more recent strand of literature not only considers the unconditional d.f., but also focusses on the conditional distributional aspects. Mandelbrot (ibid.) already discussed the fact that there are clusters of high and low volatility in the return data. Typically, dependence in the second moment of the returns' d.f. is much stronger than dependence in the first moment. But not until the ARCH model introduced by Engle (1982) and the GARCH extension, see Bollerslev and Engle (1986), have economists come to grips with this stylized fact. In addition to exhibiting the clustering phenomenon, the unconditional d.f. of the variates from an ARCH process have fat tails, see e.g. De Haan et al. (1989), though the variance is still finite. Understandably the ARCH type processes have gained wide popularity, c.f. Diebold (1988). For example, Diebold (1987, p. 3; 1988, ch. 4) and Bollerslev (1987, p. 542) argue in favor of the GARCH process vis à vis a process with variates that are unconditionally stable distributed, because the latter process ostensibly lacks the clustering phenomenon.

This begs the question whether it is not possible for a stable process to exhibit the clustering phenomenon. In the existing literature we could not locate a reference dealing with this issue². The aim of this note is to partly fill this gap by comparing the two processes. In particular, we intend to show that there exists a class of GARCH like processes of which the realizations are unconditionally stable distributed. We also provide an example of a stable process which exhibits clusters of volatility; i.e. all conditional distributions of this process follow a stable law as well. Some hints towards empirical implementation are provided. It follows that under certain conditions on the parameters of a GARCH like process, the stable and GARCH

² The only somewhat related paper is by McCulloch (1985), who introduces a process with stable innovations but conditional scaling. The resulting unconditional distributions, however, are not stable. Wolff (1988) compares the ARCH model with the random coefficient model.

process are observationally equivalent from the viewpoint of the unconditional distribution, and in some cases in all respects. In summary, the various strands of the literature have tried to cope with following stylized facts of returns on financial assets : returns (i) have d.f.'s with fat tails and a higher than normal kurtosis, (ii) exhibit clusters of high and low volatility, (iii) are additive such that their distributions are type invariant, and (iv) normed sums tend to follow a limit law. The unconditional stable literature captures facts (i), (iii) and (iv). The ARCH literature deals with facts (i), (ii) and (iv). This note shows that there are processes which exhibit all four stylized facts, or different subsets of these facts.

2. THE VOLATILITY FUNCTION

Consider the following slightly modified GARCH (1,1) process

$$Y(t) = X(t)H(t), \text{ } X \text{ is independent and identically} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{distributed (i.i.d.)}, E[X] = 0, E[X^2] = 1,$$

$$H(t)^2 = \lambda S(t-1)^2 + \tau H(t-1)^2, \text{ } S \text{ is i.i.d.}, E[S] = 0,$$

$$E[S^2] = 1, \lambda, \tau \geq 0, \tau < 1.$$

This model will be very close in spirit to Bollerslev's (1986) GARCH model if we set $S(t) = X(t)$. In this case, the difference between the GARCH process is with respect to volatility function, where we use the past squared innovation $X(t-1)^2$ rather than the past squared realization $Y(t-1)^2$. This practice is also followed by e.g. Nelson (1989) and Hsieh (1989). Note that for covariance stationarity $\tau < 1$ is sufficient for the process in (1), whereas $\lambda + \tau < 1$ is required in case of GARCH (1,1).

The process defined in (1) exhibits the same properties as GARCH. More specifically, the process exhibits conditional heteroskedasticity, as past innovations and variances contribute to the current variance. This produces the clustering phenomenon (ii) as may be seen from equation (2) :

$$H(t)^2 - \sigma^2 = \tau[H(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2] + \lambda[S(t-1)^2 - 1], \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \lambda/(1-\tau)$ is the unconditional variance of both $Y(t)$ and $H(t)$. The current variance overshoots the unconditional variance as a weighted average of past excesses in the innovation variances. For GARCH (1,1), we have³ :

$$H(t)^2 - \sigma^2 = \tau[H(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2] + \lambda[Y(t-1)^2 - \sigma^2], \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma^2 = \omega/(1 - \lambda - \tau)$. The only difference between (2) and (3) is the second term, which stems from using the innovations $S(t-1)$ or $X(t-1)$ rather than the realizations $Y(t-1)$ in the volatility function. The property (i) can be easily satisfied as well, by choosing X to follow a fat tailed distribution, like the Student- t or stable distribution. In the latter case equations (2) or (3) make no sense, but evidently the clustering phenomenon is still present. With a finite variance σ^2 , the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ cannot be stable and fat tailed. Is it possible, though, that the above scheme (1) generates fat tailed stable variates under slightly different conditions ?

³ The Garch (1,1) process is defined by $Y(t) = X(t) H(t)$, $H(t)^2 = \omega + \lambda Y(t-1)^2 + \tau H(t-1)^2$, $\omega > 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $\lambda + \tau < 1$, and $X(t) \sim N(0,1)$.

3. STABLE SUBORDINATION WITH CONDITIONAL SCALING

In order to answer the question at the end of the previous section, consider the following stochastic process called SSCS for ease of reference⁴.

Definition 1. The SSCS process is defined as the stationary solution of equations (4) and (5) :

$$Y(t) = X(t) H(t)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (4)$$

$$H(t) = \lambda G(t-1) + \tau H(t-1), \quad \lambda > 0, 0 \leq \tau < 1, \quad (5)$$

where the $X(t)$ and $G(t-1)$ are each strictly stable i.i.d. random variables (r.v.) with characteristic exponents α , $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and β , $0 < \beta < 1$, respectively, the $G(t)$ are non negative, $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent for all $j \geq 1$.

In comparison with equations (1), the volatility function (5), or scaling function in the context of stable d.f.'s, of the SSCS process still exhibits the clustering phenomenon. While past innovations do contribute to H , the relationship is slightly more complicated. It allows for more general patterns of clustering, see also footnote 6 below. Note that while $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent, the Definition 1 does not rule out temporal dependency between $X(t)$ and $G(t)$. The following theorem ensures that the marginal distribution of $Y(t)$ is stable.

⁴ SSCS is the acronym for stable subordination with conditional scaling.

Theorem 1. The unconditional distributions of the $Y(t)$ in equation (4) are strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$.

Proof. The proof proceeds in two steps. We first obtain the distribution of H by convergence of an infinite convolution. In step two the unconditional distribution of Y is derived as the product of two strictly stable variates.

Step 1. By repeated substitution the scaling function in equation (5) can be rewritten as :

$$H(t) = \lambda \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^k G(t-k-1) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1).$$

By Theorem 3 in Feller (1971, ch. VI.1) and recalling $0 < \tau < 1$, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &\stackrel{d}{=} \lambda \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau^{\beta k} \right]^{1/\beta} G + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1) \\ &= \lambda \left[\frac{1}{1-\tau^\beta} \right]^{1/\beta} G + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n H(t-n-1), \end{aligned}$$

where G is equal in distribution to $G(t)$.

The last term is zero in probability, and hence

$$H(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda \left[\frac{1}{1-\tau^\beta} \right]^{1/\beta} G.$$

By Theorem 2 in Feller (ibid., ch. VI.1), $H(t)$ is positive, has a strictly stable distribution function with scale $\lambda[1/(1-\tau^\beta)]^{1/\beta}$, and characteristic exponent β .

Step 2. Given that $X(t)$ and $H(t)$ are strictly stable with characteristic exponents α and β , it follows from the product rule for stable variates, see e.g. Feller (ibid., ch. VI.2), that

$$Y(t) = X(t)H(t)^{1/\alpha}$$

is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. □

Note that the theorem holds for any unconditionally stable distributed Y , except the normal, by choosing α and β appropriately. Therefore variates which are unconditionally leptokurtic stable may possess the clustering phenomenon (ii). The clustering derives from the volatility function that implies the conditional scale $H(t)^{1/\alpha}$ which, when multiplied by the innovation $X(t)$, produces the subordinated process $Y(t)$ with stable marginals. Clark (1973) discusses stable subordinated stochastic processes in economics, but does not consider the possibility of conditional scaling.

Corollary 1. If the processes $G(t)$ and $X(t)$ are independent and $Y(t)$ follows the SSCS process of Definition 1, then $Y(t)$ satisfies the properties (i)-(iv).

Proof. Evidently, the fat tail (i) and the clustering (ii) property are satisfied. To obtain the additivity property (iii), note that conditional on the $H(t)$'s any sum $\sum_{j=0}^n Y(t-j)$ is strictly stable with scale

$$\lambda^{1/\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right) G(t-j-1) + \lambda^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right) H(t-n) \right\}^{1/\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

and characteristic exponent α . By the additivity property of stable variates this scale is itself strictly stable distributed as well.

with exponent β . Apply Step 2 of the previous proof to conclude that $\sum_{j=0}^n Y(t-j)$ is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. Therefore, $Y(t)$ and any finite sum of $Y(t)$'s are of the same type. A similar argument shows this holds for any linear combination of $Y(t)$'s. Property (iv) follows trivially, as the stable distributions are in their own domain of attraction.

□

Remark 1. An easy proof of the third property in case the $X(t)$'s are standard normal is given in Feller (ibid., p. 176 ft. 7). *Remark 2.* Because property (iii) holds, it also follows that all finite linear combinations of $Y(t)$'s are strictly stable with the same characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. Theorem 2 of Dudley and Kanter (1974) then implies that $\{Y(t)\}$ is a stable stochastic process, and any subsequence of $Y(t)$'s is multivariate stable⁵. *Remark 3.* Serial dependence in the mean can be introduced by adding a factor $\phi Y(t-1)$, $\phi^2 < 1$, to the right hand side of equation (4).

In view of the extant literature it is of interest to discuss the empirical implementation of the SSCS model. Consider the SSCS process of Definition 1, suppose that $G(t)$ and $X(t)$ are independent and let $X(t)$ have a standard normal d.f. such that $\alpha = 2$ (in the spirit of Engle's original ARCH process). How can the parameters β , λ , τ be estimated? The literature on speculative prices abounds with estimates of the unconditional d.f. of the returns. Table 1 contains some typical example estimates for the spot foreign exchange rate returns as reported in a recent survey by Boothe and Glassman (1987). From the last column of this table, an estimate for β is easily calculated by

⁵ Another implication of this is that the discrete time SSCS stochastic process can be embedded in a continuous time stochastic process, see Wolfe (1982). This is not possible for e.g. the ARCH process.

division of $\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}$ with the maintained hypothesis $\alpha = 2$. From equations (4) and (6) the unconditional scale is found as :

$$s = \lambda^{1/\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right)^\beta + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right)^\beta / (1-\tau^\beta) \right\}^{1/\alpha\beta}. \quad (7)$$

Table 1 : Parameter Estimates for the Unconditional Stable d.f.*

Currencies	Frequency of Data	Scale \hat{s}	Characteristic Exponent $\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}$
Canadian/ US dollar	day	.00127	1.747
	month	.00681	1.560
German mark/ US dollar	day	.00339	1.624
	month	.02122	1.686
Japanese Yen/ US dollar	day	.00234	1.266
	month	.01626	1.366

* Estimates as reported in Boothe and Glassman (1987, p. 309).

Hence, the scale estimates \hat{s} reported in Table 1 are a non linear combination of the λ , τ , α and β . On the basis of this information it is not possible to identify λ and τ separately. Note, however, that the λ can be divided out if one takes the ratio of two scale estimates based on two different frequencies. For months with $n+1$ days, from the following statistic R_n

$$R_n = \left[\frac{s(\text{month})}{s(\text{day})} \right]^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^i \right)^\beta (1-\tau^\beta) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \tau^i \right)^\beta. \quad (8)$$

τ can be identified given an $\hat{\beta}$. Note that by induction on n for all n $dR_n/d\tau < 0$ for $0 < \tau < 1$, and hence a simple grid search procedure may be used to find $\hat{\tau}$. Once the conditional scaling coefficient $\hat{\tau}$ is known, the scale of the innovations $\hat{\lambda}$ is easily calculated from, say,

the daily \hat{s} . Table 2 provides parameter estimates for the SSCS process on the basis of information contained in Table 1. The $\hat{\tau}$ values indicate the contribution of past scales to the current scaling coefficient. An indication for the persistence in the scaling and thus the importance of volatility clusters are the mean lag $\tau/(1-\tau)$, with values of .85, .17 and .56 respectively, and the median lag which is zero in all cases [see Bollerslev (1986, p. 311/312)]. This suggests that while past volatility does contribute to the current volatility, the effect evaporates fairly rapidly.

Table 2 : Parameter Estimates for the SSCS process*

Currencies	Imputed				
	$\alpha \hat{\beta}$	$\hat{\beta}$	\hat{R}_{21}	$\hat{\tau}$	$\hat{\lambda}$
Canadian/US dollar	1.7	.85	17.37	.46	.0008
German mark/US dollar	1.6	.80	18.81	.15	.0029
Japanese Yen/US dollar	1.4	.70	15.09	.36	.0015

* Due to different $\alpha\beta$ estimates for different frequencies, some overall characteristic exponent has to be used in the calculations of τ and λ ; this information is recorded in the first column. Grid search was used to calculate τ from the nonlinear equation (8). Calculations are based on the presumption that a month contains $n+1 = 22$ trading days.

How do these estimates, the τ -values in particular, compare to the existing evidence? We address two issues, the size of τ and the effects of temporal aggregation. To start with the latter issue, as Diebold (1988) shows on the basis of a central limit theorem argument temporal aggregates of ARCH processes tend to normality. Empirically, Baillie and Bollerslev (1989) find that while GARCH effects are present in daily and weekly foreign exchange return data, these effects disappear in biweekly and monthly returns. A nice property of the SSCS process is that the effects of time aggregation can be explicitly calculated, i.e. no limit arguments are needed even though they do

apply as stable distributions are in their own domain of attraction. Define $Z(-m) = Y(t-(m-1)k) + \dots + Y(t-mk+1)$ for some periodicity $k \geq 2$, and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and study the behavior of the time aggregated series $Z(-m)$. Note that $H(t) + \dots + H(t-(k-1))$ is equal in distribution to $R_{k-1}^{1/\beta} H(t)$, where R_k was defined in (8). The time aggregated SSCS process can then be written as :

$$Z(-m) \stackrel{d}{=} X(-m) R_{k-1}^{1/\alpha\beta} H(-m)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (4')$$

$$H(-m) \stackrel{d}{=} \lambda c G(-m-1) + \tau^k H(-m-1), \quad (5')$$

where X , G and H are distributed as before, and c is a positive non-linear function of τ . The important thing to note is the factor τ^k in the scaling function. It is not hard to show that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau^k R_{k-1}^{1/\beta} = 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the clustering effect is reduced due to temporal aggregation, as in the case of GARCH. Empirically, for the estimates in Table 2, the clustering effect is virtually zero on a fortnightly scale.

In comparison with e.g. the GARCH estimates reported in Bollerslev (1987), Baillie and Bollerslev (1989) and Hsieh (1989), our $\hat{\tau}$ values point to a lower persistence (typically $\lambda + \tau$ as in equation (3) are close to one in these references). Interestingly Hsieh also estimates the exponential GARCH model and finds that the autoregressive parameter in the volatility function is significantly below one. This may be due to the logarithmic specification which reduces the effect of outliers in the volatility function. Thus, an explanation for the relatively low τ values we find may be that the stable model is 'robust' against outliers. This point may be of interest for future research, but is outside the scope of the present paper.

Up to this point the innovations G in the volatility function (5) have been considered to be independent from the past innovations X or realizations Y . It is of interest to relax this assumption. First consider dependence of $G(t)$ on $X(t)$. Specifically, suppose that the dependence takes the following form :

$$G(t) = F_2^{-1} (F_1(X(t))), \quad (9)$$

where F_1 is the d.f. of X , F_2 is the d.f. of G , and X and G are both strictly stable r.v.'s as stated in Definition 1. Therefore F_1 and F_2 are continuous, see e.g. Feller (ibid., ch. VI.13), have the same range, and are monotone. It follows that the composite function in equation (9) defines a strictly stable r.v. with characteristic exponent β . It is easy to see that as $X(t)$ and $G(t-j)$ are independent r.v.'s, Theorem 1 is applicable and hence the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is strictly stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta$. As $\alpha\beta < 2$, the fat tail property (i) holds again. From the discussion in the previous section and the Definition 1 the stochastic process also exhibits the cluster property (ii).

Corollary 2. The SSCS process of Definition 1 amended with the dependency structure as in equation (9) exhibits properties (i) and (ii).

□

To foster the reader's intuition, the following process provides a tractable example :

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= X(t)H(t)^{1/2}, & X(t) \text{ i.i.d. standard normal} \\ H(t) &= \frac{1}{X(t-1)^2} + \tau^2 H(t-1), & 0 \leq \tau < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As X follows the standard normal distribution with density $f_\alpha(x)$, i.e. it is a strictly stable variate with characteristic exponent $\alpha = 2$, the composite function $1/X^2$ is a strictly stable variate with characteristic exponent $\beta = 1/2$, and has density

$$f_\beta(g) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} g^{-3/2} e^{-1/2g}.$$

see Feller (ibid., ch. II.4). From step 1 in the proof of Theorem 1, H has density (note the τ^2 in (10))

$$f_{\beta}(h) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} (1-\tau)^{-1} h^{-3/2} \exp [2(1-\tau)^2 h]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

The unconditional density of Y can now be found as a mixture of the normal

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(y) = \int f_{\alpha}(y|h) f_{\beta}(h) dh = 1/\pi [(1-\tau)^{-1} + (1-\tau)y^2]. \quad (12)$$

The unconditional distribution of Y is Cauchy, i.e. is stable with characteristic exponent $\alpha\beta = 1$.⁶

This example is also instructive in showing that this variant of the SSCS process does not exhibit the additivity property (iii), c.f. Corollary 1 and 2. Suppose that $\tau = 0$ in (10) and hence the process can simply be written as $Y(t) = X(t)/X(t-1)$. It is immediate that the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is Cauchy, given that the $X(t)$'s are i.i.d. standard normal. Straightforward calculations show that the joint density of two adjacent Y 's, say $A = Y(t)$ and $B = Y(t-1)$, reads

$$f(a, b) = (2\pi)^{-1} a^{-2} (1 + b^2 + a^{-2})^{-3/2}.$$

For $\{Y(t)\}$ to be a stable process, a necessary condition is that each univariate marginal, i.e. including all linear combinations, is stable with the same index see e.g. Dudley and Kanter (ibid.). It is relatively straightforward to show that the marginals $f(a) = \int f(a,b) db$ and $f(b) = \int f(a,b) da$ are Cauchy, i.e. have index 1. How about the sum? Let $Q = A + B$, and evaluate the density of the sum $f(q) = \int f(q-b,b) db$. This integral is hard to integrate due to the fractional power of

⁶ Interestingly, as was pointed out by a referee, the process (10) constitutes an example of 'negative clustering' in analogy with the effect of negative serial correlation. If the previous innovation was high in absolute terms, it lowers the current conditional variance and vice versa. Hence, the volatility tends to alternate.

the denominator. However, it is easily shown that $f(q)$ is symmetric around $q = 0$. Moreover, some tedious calculus shows :

$$f''(0) = -\frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{a^3}{[1+a^2+a^4]^{5/2}} - 5 \frac{a^7}{[1+a^2+a^4]^{7/2}} \right\} da$$

$$= 2/27\pi > 0.$$

But the Cauchy distribution that is symmetric around zero has $f''(0) < 0$. Hence, $f(q)$ is not Cauchy. In fact by numerical integration $f(q)$ was found to be bimodal. It follows that property (iii) cannot be satisfied.

As a last example of processes with unconditional stable variates which exhibit volatility clustering, consider the following bilinear model⁷ :

$$Y(t) = X(t) H(t)^{1/2} \tag{13}$$

$$H(t)^2 = \lambda Y(t-1)^2 Q(t)^{2/\beta},$$

where the $X(t)$ are i.i.d. standard normal distributed, and the $Q(t)$ are i.i.d. strictly stable distributed with characteristic exponent $1/2$.

Note that the volatility function now directly depends on the past realization like in Engle's (ibid.) original ARCH process. The process also has the format of a random coefficient model, c.f. Wolff (ibid.). It can be checked by using the product rule for stable r.v.'s that the unconditional distribution of $Y(t)$ is stable with characteristic exponent β .

⁷ On bilinear models, see Granger and Andersen [1978].

4. SUMMARY

The paper shows that studies which concentrated on the unconditional distribution of asset returns and hypothesized a stable d.f., are not necessarily inconsistent with ARCH type processes that exhibit clusters of volatility. This has some importance for modeling the distribution of returns on financial assets. Typically the returns are leptokurtic and exhibit clusters of volatility. The SSCS model offers a means to nest the finite variance and infinite variance stable alternative within an ARCH type scheme. It was noted that while the unconditional distribution of the SSCS variates can be stable, sums of these variates may or may not be identical in distribution to the summands. Thus the SSCS process may fail the additivity property. In the latter case, dependence of the volatility function on previous innovations and realizations was considered. Example processes of both cases were given, as well as some hints towards empirical implementation.

REFERENCES

- AKGIRAY, V., G.G. BOOTH, 1988, The stable-law model of stock returns, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 51-57.
- BAILLIE, R.T. and T. BOLLERSLEV, 1989, The message in daily exchange rates : a conditional variance tale, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 297-305.
- BLATTBERG, R.C. and N.J. GONNEDES, 1974, A comparison of the stable and student distributions as statistical models for stock prices, *Journal of Business*, 244-280.
- BOLLERSLEV, T., 1986, Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, *Journal of Econometrics*, 307-327.
- BOLLERSLEV, T., 1987, A conditionally heteroskedastic time series model for speculative prices and rates of return, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 542-547.
- BOLLERSLEV, T. and R.F. ENGLE, 1986, Modelling the persistence of conditional variances, *Econometric Reviews*, 1-50.
- BOOTHE, P. and D. GLASSMAN, 1987, The statistical distribution of exchange rates, *Journal of International Economics*, 297-319.
- CLARK, P.K., 1973, A subordinated process model with finite variance for speculative prices, *Econometrica*, 135-155.
- DIEBOLD, F.X., 1987, Temporal aggregation of ARCH processes and the distribution of asset returns, Federal Reserve Board, Washington D.C., Special Studies Paper # 200.
- DIEBOLD, F.X., 1988, *Empirical modeling of exchange rate dynamics*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin).
- DUDLEY, R.M. and M. KANTER, 1974, Zero-one laws for stable measures, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 245-252.
- ENGLE, R.F., 1982, Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of the U.K. inflation, *Econometrica*, 987-1008.
- FELLER, W., 1971, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. II, (John Wiley, New York).
- GRANGER, C.W.J. and A.P. ANDERSEN, 1978, *An introduction to bilinear time series*, (Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen).

- HAAN, L. de, S.I. RESNICK, H. ROOTZEN and C.G. de VRIES, 1989, Extremal behaviour of solutions to a stochastic difference equation with applications to ARCH processes, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 213-224.
- HSIEH, D.A., 1989, Modeling heteroscedasticity in daily foreign-exchange rates, *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 307-317.
- KON, S., 1984, Models of stock returns. A comparison, *The Journal of Finance*, 147-165.
- MANDELBROT, B., 1963, The variation of certain speculative prices, *Journal of Business*, 394-419.
- MCCULLOCH, J.H., 1985, Interest-risk sensitive deposit insurance premia, stable ACH estimates, *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 137-156.
- NELSON, D B., 1989, *Conditional heteroskedasticity in asset returns : A new approach*, mimeo, University of Chicago.
- TUCKER, A.L. and L. POND, 1988, The probability distribution of foreign exchange price changes : Tests of candidate processes, *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 638-647.
- WESTERFIELD, J.M., 1977, An examination of foreign exchange risk under fixed and floating rate regimes, *Journal of International Economics*, 181-200.
- WOLFE, S.J., 1982, On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation $X_n = \rho X_{n-1} + B_n$, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 301-312.
- WOLFF, C.C.P., 1988, Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity. A comparison of ARCH and random coefficient models, *Economics Letters*, 141-143.

Discussion Paper Series, CentER, Tilburg University, The Netherlands:

(For previous papers please consult previous discussion papers.)

No.	Author(s)	Title
8916	A. Kapteyn, P. Kooreman and A. van Soest	Quantity Rationing and Concavity in a Flexible Household Labor Supply Model
8917	F. Canova	Seasonalities in Foreign Exchange Markets
8918	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Disinflation, Fiscal Expansion and the Current Account in an Interdependent World
8919	W. Bossert and F. Stehling	On the Uniqueness of Cardinaly Interpreted Utility Functions
8920	F. van der Ploeg	Monetary Interdependence under Alternative Exchange-Rate Regimes
8921	D. Canning	Bottlenecks and Persistent Unemployment: Why Do Booms End?
8922	C. Fershtman and A. Fishman	Price Cycles and Booms: Dynamic Search Equilibrium
8923	M.B. Canzoneri and C.A. Rogers	Is the European Community an Optimal Currency Area? Optimal Tax Smoothing versus the Cost of Multiple Currencies
8924	F. Groot, C. Withagen and A. de Zeeuw	Theory of Natural Exhaustible Resources: The Cartel-Versus-Fringe Model Reconsidered
8925	O.P. Attanasio and G. Weber	Consumption, Productivity Growth and the Interest Rate
8926	N. Rankin	Monetary and Fiscal Policy in a 'Hartian' Model of Imperfect Competition
8927	Th. van de Klundert	Reducing External Debt in a World with Imperfect Asset and Imperfect Commodity Substitution
8928	C. Dang	The D_1 -Triangulation of R^n for Simplicial Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
8929	M.F.J. Steel and J.F. Richard	Bayesian Multivariate Exogeneity Analysis: An Application to a UK Money Demand Equation
8930	F. van der Ploeg	Fiscal Aspects of Monetary Integration in Europe
8931	H.A. Keuzenkamp	The Prehistory of Rational Expectations

No.	Author(s)	Title
8932	E. van Damme, R. Selten and E. Winter	Alternating Bid Bargaining with a Smallest Money Unit
8933	H. Carlsson and E. van Damme	Global Payoff Uncertainty and Risk Dominance
8934	H. Huizinga	National Tax Policies towards Product- Innovating Multinational Enterprises
8935	C. Dang and D. Talman	A New Triangulation of the Unit Simplex for Computing Economic Equilibria
8936	Th. Nijman and M. Verbeek	The Nonresponse Bias in the Analysis of the Determinants of Total Annual Expenditures of Households Based on Panel Data
8937	A.P. Barten	The Estimation of Mixed Demand Systems
8938	G. Marini	Monetary Shocks and the Nominal Interest Rate
8939	W. Güth and E. van Damme	Equilibrium Selection in the Spence Signaling Game
8940	G. Marini and P. Scaramozzino	Monopolistic Competition, Expected Inflation and Contract Length
8941	J.K. Dagsvik	The Generalized Extreme Value Random Utility Model for Continuous Choice
8942	M.F.J. Steel	Weak Exogeneity in Misspecified Sequential Models
8943	A. Roell	Dual Capacity Trading and the Quality of the Market
8944	C. Hsiao	Identification and Estimation of Dichotomous Latent Variables Models Using Panel Data
8945	R.P. Gilles	Equilibrium in a Pure Exchange Economy with an Arbitrary Communication Structure
8946	W.B. MacLeod and J.M. Malcomson	Efficient Specific Investments, Incomplete Contracts, and the Role of Market Alternatives
8947	A. van Soest and A. Kapteyn	The Impact of Minimum Wage Regulations on Employment and the Wage Rate Distribution
8948	P. Kooreman and B. Melenberg	Maximum Score Estimation in the Ordered Response Model

No.	Author(s)	Title
8949	C. Dang	The D_3 -Triangulation for Simplicial Deformation Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
8950	M. Cripps	Dealer Behaviour and Price Volatility in Asset Markets
8951	T. Wansbeek and A. Kapteyn	Simple Estimators for Dynamic Panel Data Models with Errors in Variables
8952	Y. Dai, G. van der Laan, D. Talman and Y. Yamamoto	A Simplicial Algorithm for the Nonlinear Stationary Point Problem on an Unbounded Polyhedron
8953	F. van der Ploeg	Risk Aversion, Intertemporal Substitution and Consumption: The CARA-LQ Problem
8954	A. Kapteyn, S. van de Geer, H. van de Stadt and T. Wansbeek	Interdependent Preferences: An Econometric Analysis
8955	L. Zou	Ownership Structure and Efficiency: An Incentive Mechanism Approach
8956	P. Kooreman and A. Kapteyn	On the Empirical Implementation of Some Game Theoretic Models of Household Labor Supply
8957	E. van Damme	Signaling and Forward Induction in a Market Entry Context
9001	A. van Soest, P. Kooreman and A. Kapteyn	Coherency and Regularity of Demand Systems with Equality and Inequality Constraints
9002	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	Forecasting, Misspecification and Unit Roots: The Case of AR(1) Versus ARMA(1,1)
9003	J. Driffill and C. Schultz	Wage Setting and Stabilization Policy in a Game with Renegotiation
9004	M. McAleer, M.H. Pesaran and A. Bera	Alternative Approaches to Testing Non-Nested Models with Autocorrelated Disturbances: An Application to Models of U.S. Unemployment
9005	Th. ten Raa and M.F.J. Steel	A Stochastic Analysis of an Input-Output Model: Comment
9006	M. McAleer and C.R. McKenzie	Keynesian and New Classical Models of Unemployment Revisited

No.	Author(s)	Title
9007	J. Osiewalski and M.F.J. Steel	Semi-Conjugate Prior Densities in Multi- variate t Regression Models
9008	G.W. Imbens	Duration Models with Time-Varying Coefficients
9009	G.W. Imbens	An Efficient Method of Moments Estimator for Discrete Choice Models with Choice-Based Sampling
9010	P. Deschamps	Expectations and Intertemporal Separability in an Empirical Model of Consumption and Investment under Uncertainty
9011	W. Güth and E. van Damme	Gorby Games - A Game Theoretic Analysis of Disarmament Campaigns and the Defense Efficiency-Hypothesis
9012	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Existence of an Equilibrium Density for Marginal Cost Prices, and the Solution to the Shifting-Peak Problem
9013	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Closedness of the Free-Disposal Hull of a Production Set
9014	A. Horsley and A. Wrobel	The Continuity of the Equilibrium Price Density: The Case of Symmetric Joint Costs, and a Solution to the Shifting-Pattern Problem
9015	A. van den Elzen, G. van der Laan and D. Talman	An Adjustment Process for an Exchange Economy with Linear Production Technologies
9016	P. Deschamps	On Fractional Demand Systems and Budget Share Positivity
9017	B.J. Christensen and N.M. Kiefer	The Exact Likelihood Function for an Empirical Job Search Model
9018	M. Verbeek and Th. Nijman	Testing for Selectivity Bias in Panel Data Models
9019	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	Evaluation of Moments of Ratios of Quadratic Forms in Normal Variables and Related Statistics
9020	A. Robson	Status, the Distribution of Wealth, Social and Private Attitudes to Risk
9021	J.R. Magnus and B. Pesaran	Evaluation of Moments of Quadratic Forms in Normal Variables

No.	Author(s)	Title
9022	K. Kamiya and D. Talman	Linear Stationary Point Problems
9023	W. Emons	Good Times, Bad Times, and Vertical Upstream Integration
9024	C. Dang	The D_2 -Triangulation for Simplicial Homotopy Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
9025	K. Kamiya and D. Talman	Variable Dimension Simplicial Algorithm for Balanced Games
9026	P. Skott	Efficiency Wages, Mark-Up Pricing and Effective Demand
9027	C. Dang and D. Talman	The D_1 -Triangulation in Simplicial Variable Dimension Algorithms for Computing Solutions of Nonlinear Equations
9028	J. Bai, A.J. Jakeman and M. McAleer	Discrimination Between Nested Two- and Three- Parameter Distributions: An Application to Models of Air Pollution
9029	Th. van de Klundert	Crowding out and the Wealth of Nations
9030	Th. van de Klundert and R. Gradus	Optimal Government Debt under Distortionary Taxation
9031	A. Weber	The Credibility of Monetary Target Announce- ments: An Empirical Evaluation
9032	J. Osiewalski and M. Steel	Robust Bayesian Inference in Elliptical Regression Models
9033	C. R. Wichers	The Linear-Algebraic Structure of Least Squares
9034	C. de Vries	On the Relation between GARCH and Stable Processes