

STRONG LIMIT THEOREMS FOR WEIGHTED QUANTILE PROCESSES

BY JOHN H. J. EINMAHL AND DAVID M. MASON¹

University of Limburg and University of Delaware

A thorough description of the almost sure behavior of weighted uniform quantile processes is given. This includes analogues of nearly all known results for weighted uniform empirical processes, such as the James functional law of the iterated logarithm and the Csáki results on the supremum of the standardized empirical process. Subject to the usual regularity conditions, our results extend to the nonuniform quantile process. Also, in the process of obtaining our results, we derive an extension of a theorem of Kiefer, which is likely to be of independent interest.

1. Introduction and statements of results. Let U_1, U_2, \dots , be a sequence of independent uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let

$$G_n(s) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n 1(U_i \leq s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where $1(x \leq y)$ denotes the indicator function, be the empirical distribution function based on the first n of these random variables, and let

$$U_n(s) = U_{k,n}, \quad (k-1)/n < s \leq k/n, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

with $U_n(0) = U_{1,n}$, where $U_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq U_{n,n}$ are the order statistics based on U_1, \dots, U_n , be the sample quantile function. We write the uniform empirical process as

$$\alpha_n(s) = n^{1/2}\{G_n(s) - s\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

and the uniform quantile process as

$$\beta_n(s) = n^{1/2}\{U_n(s) - s\}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

We shall use the notation $\tilde{\beta}_n(s)$ to denote the truncated uniform quantile process, which is equal to $\beta_n(s)$ for $1/(n+1) \leq s \leq n/(n+1)$ and defined to be 0 elsewhere.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a complete description of the almost sure behavior of weighted versions of the uniform quantile process. The results that we shall present will be the complete analogues of known results for weighted uniform empirical processes. We begin by stating our results. At the end of this section, we shall discuss related literature on the uniform quantile process and results for the uniform empirical process, which correspond to our

Received May 1987; revised October 1987.

¹Research partially supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation while this author was visiting the University of Munich on leave from the University of Delaware.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. 62G30, 60F15, 60F17.

Key words and phrases. Uniform order statistics, empirical and quantile processes, strong limit theorems.

theorems. Also, some remarks will be made about extensions of our results to the nonuniform quantile process. The proofs will be detailed in the next section.

Our first result is a functional law of the iterated logarithm for the weighted uniform quantile process. Let

$$Q^* = \{q: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty): q \text{ is nondecreasing and is strictly positive on } (0, 1]\}.$$

Also, let $B[0, 1]$ denote the space of bounded real-valued functions defined on $[0, 1]$ with the supremum norm and $F[0, 1]$ denote the set of absolutely continuous functions $f \in B[0, 1]$ such that

$$f(0) = f(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 (f'(s))^2 ds \leq 1.$$

For any $q \in Q^*$, set $F_q[0, 1] = \{f/q: f \in F[0, 1]\}$.

Throughout this paper, $l_n = \log \log(n \vee 3)$ for $n \geq 1$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $q \in Q^*$ and assume*

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{s \downarrow 0} (s \log \log(1/s))^{1/2} / q(s) = \rho \in [0, \infty].$$

Then with probability 1 [wp 1],

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} |\tilde{\beta}_n(s)| / (q(s)(2l_n)^{1/2}) = (2^{-1/2}\rho) \vee \left(\sup_{0 < s \leq 1/2} (s(1-s))^{1/2} / q(s) \right).$$

Moreover, when $\rho = 0$, then wp 1 the sequence

$$(1.3) \quad \left\{ \tilde{\beta}_n / ((2l_n)^{1/2} q) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

is relatively compact in $B[0, 1]$ with set of limit points equal to $F_q[0, 1]$. Whereas, if $\rho > 0$, wp 1 the sequence in (1.3) fails to be relatively compact in $B[0, 1]$.

Our next theorem describes the almost sure behavior of the weighted uniform quantile process for a certain subclass of the functions $q \in Q^*$ for which the limit in (1.1) is equal to ∞ . Here the norming constants depend on the particular weight function and the interval on which the supremum is taken at each stage n .

For any $0 < c < \infty$, let $0 < \alpha_c^- < 1 < \alpha_c^+$ be the two solutions of $\lambda - \log \lambda - 1 = c^{-1}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$ and $0 < a_n < 1/2$ with $a_n \downarrow 0$.*

(I) *If $na_n/l_n \rightarrow 0$ and $a_n \geq a/n$, eventually, for some $a > 0$, then wp 1,*

$$(1.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} n^{1/2} \alpha_n^{1-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1-\nu} l_n) = 1.$$

(II) *If $na_n/l_n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty)$, then wp 1,*

$$(1.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) = \gamma(c, \nu),$$

where $\gamma(c, 1/2) = 2 \vee \{c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1)\}$ and $\gamma(c, \nu) = c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1)$ if $0 \leq \nu < 1/2$.

(III) If $na_n/l_n \uparrow \infty$ and $\log \log(1/a_n)/l_n \rightarrow c$, then *wp 1*,

$$(1.6) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} a_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1-\nu} l_n^{1/2})$$

$$= (2(1+c))^{1/2}, \quad \text{if } \nu = 1/2,$$

$$= 2^{1/2}, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq \nu < 1/2.$$

Let $0 < k_n \leq n$, $k_n \uparrow$ and $k_n/n \downarrow 0$. Define two versions of the tail quantile process based on the sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ to be

$$v_n(s) = (n/k_n)^{1/2} \beta_n(sk_n/n), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

and

$$\tilde{v}_n(s) = (n/k_n)^{1/2} \tilde{\beta}_n(sk_n/n), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1.$$

Also, let $K[0, 1]$ denote the set of absolutely continuous functions $f \in B[0, 1]$ such that

$$f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^1 (f'(s))^2 ds \leq 1,$$

and for any $0 < \nu \leq 1/2$ set

$$K_\nu[0, 1] = \{fI^{-1/2+\nu}: f \in K[0, 1]\},$$

where I denotes the identity function.

The following theorem provides a description of how weighted and un-weighted versions of these processes behave almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

THEOREM 3. Let $0 < \nu \leq 1/2$, $1 \leq k_n \leq n$, $k_n \uparrow$ and $k_n/n \downarrow 0$.

(I) If $k_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow 0$, then *wp 1*,

$$(1.7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} k_n^\nu |\tilde{v}_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) = 1.$$

(II) If $k_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty]$, then *wp 1*,

$$(1.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} |\tilde{v}_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) = \tau(c, \nu),$$

where

$$\tau(c, \nu) = c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1), \quad \nu = 1/2, c \in (0, \infty),$$

$$= 2^{1/2}, \quad \nu = 1/2, c = \infty,$$

$$= c^{-1/2}, \quad 0 < \nu < 1/2, c \in (0, 1/2),$$

$$= 2^{1/2}, \quad 0 < \nu < 1/2, c \in [1/2, \infty].$$

(III) If $k_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow \infty$, then *wp 1* the sequence

$$(1.9) \quad \left\{ \tilde{v}_n / (I^{1/2-\nu}(2l_n)^{1/2}) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

is relatively compact in $B[0, 1]$ with set of limit points equal to $K_\nu[0, 1]$.

Moreover, (I)–(III) remain true when \tilde{v}_n is replaced by v_n in the case $\nu = 1/2$.

REMARK 1. In parts (I) and (II), when $c < \infty$, statement (1.9) fails to be true.

Our final result dealing with weighted uniform quantile processes concerns strong approximations.

THEOREM 4. (I) *On a rich enough probability space, there exists a sequence of independent Brownian bridges B_1, B_2, \dots , and a sequence of independent uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables U_1, U_2, \dots , such that whenever $q \in Q^*$ satisfies (1.1) with $\rho = 0$, then wp 1,*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} \left| \tilde{\beta}_n(s) - n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(s) \right| / q(s) = o(l_n^{1/2}).$$

(II) *Let $0 < \nu \leq 1/2$, $1 \leq k_n \leq n$, $k_n \uparrow$ and $k_n/n \downarrow 0$. If $k_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow \infty$, then on a rich enough probability space there exist a sequence of standard Wiener processes W_1, W_2, \dots , and a sequence of independent uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables U_1, U_2, \dots , such that wp 1,*

$$(1.11) \quad \sup_{0 < s \leq 1} \left| \tilde{v}_n(s) - k_n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i(sk_n/n) \right| s^{-1/2+\nu} = o(l_n^{1/2}).$$

REMARK 2. Of course, instead of using weight functions in the class Q^* , we could have formulated Theorems 1, 2 and 4 in terms of weight functions that agree with members of Q^* on $[0, 1/2]$ and are symmetric about $1/2$. The corresponding statements and proofs of the results for this class of weight functions are, because of symmetry considerations, obvious from our present theorems and therefore left to the reader.

Our last result is both a tool to prove Theorem 3 and an extension of a theorem by Kiefer (1970) concerning the almost sure behavior of the supremum of the Bahadur (1966) process as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We use the following notation in the statement of our theorem.

Given a sequence $0 < k_n \leq n$, set $a_n^* = (k_n l_n)^{1/2}$, $b_n = \log k_n$, $d_n = 2l_n + b_n$ and $r_n = (a_n^* d_n)^{1/2} n^{-1/2}$. Also, let

$$R_n(k_n) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)|.$$

THEOREM 5. *Assume that $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies*

$$(1.12) \quad k_n \uparrow \text{ and } k_n/n \downarrow \gamma, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1,$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad k_n/l_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(I) If $\gamma = 0$, then *wp 1*,

$$(1.14) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{-1} R_n(k_n) \leq 2^{1/4}$$

and if, in addition,

$$(1.15) \quad (\log k_n)/l_n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then *wp 1*,

$$(1.16) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{-1} R_n(k_n) = 2^{1/4}.$$

(II) If $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then *wp 1*,

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{-1} R_n(k_n) &= 2^{1/4}(1 - \gamma)^{1/4}, & 0 < \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ &= 2^{-1/4}\gamma^{-1/4}, & 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3. Subject to regularity conditions, our results can be extended to the nonuniform quantile process as defined in equation (9) on page 640 of Shorack and Wellner (SW) (1986). For instance, if the underlying distribution function F satisfies properties (1)–(3) with $M < 1$ on page 645 of SW (1986), then Theorem 1 with $\rho = 0$, Theorem 2, part (III), Theorem 3, part (II) with $c = \infty$ and part (III), and Theorems 4 and 5 remain true for the nonuniform quantile process. For the sake of brevity, we do not go through the routine details of showing this here.

REMARK 4. Theorem 1 is the analogue for $\tilde{\beta}_n$ of the James (1975) functional law of the iterated logarithm for the weighted uniform empirical process and was first announced in Shorack (1982a). [See also Open Question 1 on page 526 of SW (1986).] The versions for α_n of the various parts of Theorem 2 are to be found in Csáki (1975, 1977), Shorack and Wellner (1978), Mason (1981), Wellner (1978) and Einmahl (1987a). A weaker form of Theorem 2 for the case $\nu = 1/2$ was obtained by Csörgő and Révész (1978). Theorem 2 for the case $\nu = 1/2$ answers Open Question 1 on page 616 of SW (1986). The case when $\nu = 0$ was first given by Wellner (1978). Einmahl and Mason (1988) describe the behavior of the tail empirical process that corresponds to parts (I) and (II) of Theorem 3. Part (III) of this theorem gives the analogues for the tail quantile process of results in Mason (1988). A special case of Theorem 6.1 of Alexander (1982) contains the strong approximation result for the weighted uniform empirical process corresponding to part (I) of Theorem 4. Mason (1988) obtained the strong approximation for the tail empirical process for which Theorem 4, part (II), is the analogue. When k_n is chosen to be n , Theorem 5 gives the well-known result by Kiefer (1970) on the Bahadur process. (See Fact 3 in the following discussion.) It also yields an improvement of some results on intermediate order statistics in Watts (1980), specialized to the uniform distribution.

2. Proofs of the theorems. For convenient reference later on, we begin by recording a number of facts.

FACT 1 [Kiefer (1972)].

(i) Let $(n+1)^{-1} \leq a_n < 1$ and $na_n/l_n \rightarrow 0$. Then wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} nU_n(a_n)/l_n = 1.$$

(ii) Let $0 < a_n < 1$, $na_n/l_n \uparrow \infty$ and $a_n \downarrow$. Then wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pm \beta_n(a_n)/(a_n(1-a_n)l_n)^{1/2} = 2^{1/2}.$$

(iii) Let $0 < a_n < 1$, $na_n \uparrow$, $na_n/l_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_n \downarrow 0$. Then wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pm \alpha_n(a_n)/(a_n(1-a_n)l_n)^{1/2} = 2^{1/2}.$$

If $c \in (0, \infty)$, then wp 1,

$$(iv) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} nU_n(cl_n/n)/l_n = c\alpha_c^+$$

and

$$(v) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nU_n(cl_n/n)/l_n = c\alpha_c^-.$$

FACT 2 [Wellner (1978)].

If $c \in (0, 1)$, then wp 1,

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq 1} U_n(s)/s = \alpha_c^+$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq 1} s/U_n(s) = (\alpha_c^-)^{-1}.$$

FACT 3 [Kiefer (1970)]. We have wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} n^{1/4} |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)| / (l_n^{1/2} \log n)^{1/2} = 2^{-1/4}.$$

FACT 4 [Csáki (1977)].

(i) Let $0 < a_n \leq 1$, $na_n/l_n \rightarrow \infty$, $\log \log(1/a_n)/l_n \rightarrow c$ and $a_n \downarrow$. Then wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\alpha_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} = (2(1+c))^{1/2}.$$

(ii) For $c \in (0, \infty)$, wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq 1} |\alpha_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} = 2 \vee \{c^{1/2}(\beta_c^+ - 1)\},$$

where β_c^+ is the root greater than 1 of $\lambda(\log \lambda - 1) + 1 = c^{-1}$.

All these facts can be found in SW (1986).

We shall first prove Theorem 2, then Theorem 1, the upper bound part of Theorem 5, Theorem 3, Theorem 4, and finally the lower bound part of Theorem 5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. We shall require a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For all $0 < c < d < \infty$, wp 1,

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq dl_n/n} |\beta_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} = c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1).$$

PROOF. Choose any integer $k \geq 1$ and set

$$\varepsilon_k = (d/c)^{1/k} - 1, \quad c_{j,k} = c(1 + \varepsilon_k)^j \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{c}_{j,k} = c_{j,k}l_n/n,$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Notice that for any $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\bar{c}_{j,k} \leq s \leq \bar{c}_{j+1,k}} \beta_n(s) / (sl_n)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sup_{\bar{c}_{j,k} \leq s \leq \bar{c}_{j+1,k}} \{ \beta_n(\bar{c}_{j+1,k}) + n^{1/2}(\bar{c}_{j+1,k} - \bar{c}_{j,k}) \} / (sl_n)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which when $\beta_n(\bar{c}_{j+1,k}) \geq 0$ is

$$\leq (1 + \varepsilon_k)^{1/2} \beta_n(\bar{c}_{j+1,k}) / (\bar{c}_{j+1,k}l_n)^{1/2} + \varepsilon_k d^{1/2}.$$

Applying Fact 1(iv), we obtain for each fixed $0 \leq j \leq k - 1$ and $k \geq 1$, wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(\bar{c}_{j+1,k}) / (\bar{c}_{j+1,k}l_n)^{1/2} = c_{j+1,k}^{1/2} (\alpha_{c_{j+1,k}}^+ - 1).$$

Thus, since

$$\lambda^{1/2}(\alpha_\lambda^+ - 1) \downarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \lambda \uparrow \infty,$$

we see that for each $k \geq 1$, the lim sup in (2.1) is less than or equal to wp 1,

$$(1 + \varepsilon_k)^{1/2} c^{1/2} (\alpha_c^+ - 1) + \varepsilon_k d^{1/2}.$$

Observing that $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have wp 1,

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq dl_n/n} \beta_n(s) / (sl_n)^{1/2} \leq c^{1/2} (\alpha_c^+ - 1).$$

Similarly, we can show using Fact 1(v) that wp 1,

$$(2.3) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{cl_n/n \leq s \leq dl_n/n} -\beta_n(s) / (sl_n)^{1/2} \leq d^{1/2} (1 - \alpha_d^-).$$

Since $c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1) > d^{1/2}(1 - \alpha_d^-)$, (2.1) follows from (2.2), (2.3) and Fact 1(iv). \square

LEMMA 2. Let $0 < a_n < 1/2$ be such that $a_n \downarrow 0$, $\log \log(1/a_n)/l_n \rightarrow c$ and $a_n(nl_n)^{1/2}/\log n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then wp 1,

$$(2.4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\beta_n(s)|/(sl_n)^{1/2} = (2(1+c))^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. Notice that

$$\sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)|/(sl_n)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)|/(a_n l_n)^{1/2}.$$

Assertion (2.4) is now an easy consequence of Facts 3 and 4(i). \square

LEMMA 3. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $d_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ and $d \geq d_0$, wp 1,

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{dl_n/n \leq s \leq n^{-\delta}} |\beta_n(s)|/(sl_n)^{1/2} \leq 2(1+\varepsilon).$$

PROOF. First note that wp 1,

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |\beta_n(s) + \alpha_n(U_n(s))| = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Therefore, to establish (2.5), it suffices to prove that wp 1,

$$(2.7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{dl_n/n \leq s \leq n^{-\delta}} |\alpha_n(U_n(s))|/(sl_n)^{1/2} \leq 2(1+\varepsilon),$$

for some large enough d . The left side of (2.7) is less than or equal to

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{dl_n/n \leq s \leq n^{-\delta}} |\alpha_n(U_n(s))|/(U_n(s)l_n)^{1/2} \sup_{dl_n/n \leq s \leq 1} (U_n(s)/s)^{1/2}.$$

Now by Fact 2(i), wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{dl_n/n \leq s \leq 1} U_n(s)/s = \alpha_d^+,$$

and by Fact 1(v), wp 1,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} nU_n(dl_n/n)/l_n = d\alpha_d^- := f(d),$$

where $f(d) \uparrow \infty$ as $d \downarrow \infty$. Hence, we see that expression (2.8) is less than or equal to

$$(\alpha_d^+)^{1/2} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{d'l_n/n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\alpha_n(s)|/(sl_n)^{1/2},$$

where $d' = 2^{-1}f(d)$, which by Fact 4(ii) is wp 1 equal to

$$(\alpha_d^+)^{1/2} \{2 \vee ((d')^{1/2}(\beta_{d'}^+ - 1))\}.$$

Since $\alpha_d^+ \downarrow 1$ and $(d')^{1/2}(\beta_{d'}^+ - 1) \downarrow 2^{1/2}$ as $d' \uparrow \infty$, we have proved (2.5). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2 FOR $\nu = 1/2$. We begin with part (II). This part follows immediately from Lemma 1, Lemma 2 with $a_n = n^{-1/4}$ and Lemma 3 with $\delta = 1/4$.

Next, consider part (I). We must show that wp 1,

$$(2.9) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} (na_n)^{1/2} |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2} l_n) = 1.$$

First, we establish the lower bound. The left side of (2.9) is wp 1 greater than or equal to

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/2} |\beta_n(a_n)| / l_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n U_n(a_n) / l_n = 1,$$

cf. Fact 1(i). Now we consider the upper bound. Since

$$(na_n)^{1/2} / l_n = o(l_n^{-1/2}),$$

it follows from part (II) that it suffices to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq cl_n/n} (na_n)^{1/2} |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2} l_n) \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq cl_n/n} (na_n)^{1/2} (ns)^{1/2} / l_n = 0,$$

we must only verify that there exists a $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that wp 1,

$$(2.10) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n U_n(cl_n/n) / l_n \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

But, by Fact 1(iv), for arbitrary $c \in (0, \infty)$ the left side of (2.10) is equal wp 1 to $c\alpha_c^+$. Noticing that $c\alpha_c^+ \downarrow 1$ as $c \downarrow 0$ completes the proof of part (I).

Finally, we turn to part (III). When $0 \leq c < 1$, this part is immediate from Lemma 2. It remains to consider $c = 1$. From Lemma 2, with $a_n = n^{-1/4}$ and Lemma 3 with $\delta = 1/4$, the upper bound follows. The lower bound when $c = 1$ is easily inferred from the already established lower bounds for the case $0 \leq c < 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 when $\nu = 1/2$. \square

Before we can complete the proof of Theorem 2, we must establish two more lemmas.

LEMMA 4. *Under the conditions of part (III) of Theorem 2, we have for any $M \in (1, \infty)$, wp 1,*

$$(2.11) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq Ma_n} |\alpha_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2}.$$

The proof is a routine application of Inequalities 2.8 and 2.10 in Einmahl (1987b) and will be omitted; cf. also the proof of Theorem 4.3 there.

LEMMA 5. Under the conditions of part (III) of Theorem 2, we have for any $M \in (1, \infty)$, wp 1,

$$(2.12) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq Ma_n} |\beta_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} \leq 2^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. The proof is based on (2.6) and is along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 3. The application of Fact 4(ii) is replaced by an application of Lemma 4. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2 FOR $0 \leq \nu < 1/2$. We first consider the lower bounds. These follow immediately from Fact 1 and

$$\sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\beta_n(s)| s^{-1+\nu} \geq \beta_n(a_n) a_n^{-1+\nu}.$$

Next, we establish the upper bounds. We begin with part (I). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} n^{1/2} a_n^{1-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n s^{1-\nu}) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} (na_n)^{1/2} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n s^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the theorem for $\nu = 1/2$, see (2.9), finishes the proof of the upper bound for this part. Now, we turn to part (II). Let c_0 be the uniquely determined root of the equation

$$c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1) = 2.$$

First, suppose that the c in Theorem 2, part II, is less than or equal to c_0 . For such c , $c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1) \geq 2$. We have, using the theorem for $\nu = 1/2$ that wp 1,

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} a_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n^{1/2} s^{1-\nu}) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\beta_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2} = c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1). \end{aligned}$$

The case $c > c_0$ requires more care. Observe that for any $M \in (1, \infty)$ the left side of (2.13) is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq Ma_n} a_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n^{1/2} s^{1-\nu}) \\ & \vee \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{Ma_n \leq s \leq 1/2} a_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n^{1/2} s^{1-\nu}) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq Ma_n} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n s)^{1/2} \\ & \vee \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{Ma_n \leq s \leq 1/2} M^{-1/2+\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n s)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1 and the theorem for $\nu = 1/2$, we see that this last expression is for large enough M less than or equal to wp 1,

$$c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1) \vee (2M^{-1/2+\nu}) \leq c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1).$$

[This trick is due to Alexander (1984).] Note that here and previously, we w.l.o.g. replaced the condition $na_n/l_n \rightarrow c$ by $a_n = cl_n/n$.

Finally, we turn to part (III). Proceeding as before, we obtain by applications of Lemma 5 and the theorem for $\nu = 1/2$ that wp 1,

$$(2.14) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a_n \leq s \leq 1/2} \alpha_n^{1/2-\nu} |\beta_n(s)| / (l_n^{1/2} s^{1-\nu}) \\ \leq 2^{1/2} \vee M^{-1/2+\nu} (2(1+c))^{1/2}.$$

Again, for large enough M , the right side of (2.14) is equal to $2^{1/2}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. From Theorem 2, part (I) with $\nu = 1/2$ and $a_n = (n+1)^{-1}$, and Fact 1(i), it is easily seen that wp 1,

$$(2.15) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(n+1)^{-1} \leq s \leq n^{-1/2}} |\beta_n(s)| / (q(s)(2l_n)^{1/2}) = 2^{-1/2}\rho.$$

Also, from Theorem 2, part (III) with $\nu = 1/2$ and $a_n = n^{-1/2}$, we see, assuming $\rho < \infty$, that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that wp 1,

$$(2.16) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n^{-1/2} \leq s \leq \eta} |\beta_n(s)| / (q(s)(2l_n)^{1/2}) < \varepsilon.$$

The results in (2.15) and (2.16) are enough to prove (1.3), cf. James (1975).

On account of (2.15) and (2.16) to establish (1.2), it suffices to prove that for every $0 < \eta < 1/2$, wp 1,

$$(2.17) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\eta \leq s \leq 1} |\beta_n(s)| / (q(s)(2l_n)^{1/2}) = \sup_{\eta \leq s \leq 1/2} (s(1-s))^{1/2} / q(s).$$

However, this follows immediately from the Finkelstein theorem for the uniform quantile process; see (3) on page 513 of SW (1986).

The statement in (2.15) is sufficient to prove the last line of Theorem 1. \square

PROOF OF THE UPPER BOUNDS IN THEOREM 5. For $a, b > 0$ with $a + b \leq 1$, write

$$\omega_n(a; b) = \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq b \\ 0 \leq h \leq a}} |\alpha_n(s+h) - \alpha_n(s)|.$$

Let

$$\psi(\lambda) = 2\lambda^{-2}\{(1+\lambda)\log(1+\lambda) - \lambda\}, \quad 0 < \lambda < \infty.$$

The function ψ has the property that $\psi(\lambda) \uparrow 1$ as $\lambda \downarrow 0$.

We shall require the following two inequalities.

INEQUALITY 1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$, $0 < a < 1/4$ and $a + b \leq 1$. Then we have for all $\lambda \geq 0$,*

$$P(\omega_n(a; b) \geq \lambda) \leq Kba^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\lambda^2}{2a} \psi\left(\frac{\lambda}{n^{1/2}a}\right)\right),$$

where $K = K(\varepsilon) \in (0, \infty)$.

INEQUALITY 2. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $a \in (0, 1]$ and write $n_j = [(1 + \varepsilon/2)^j]$ for $j \geq 1$. Then we have for all $j \geq 1$ and $\lambda > 2(a/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$,*

$$P\left(\max_{n_j < n \leq n_{j+1}} \omega_n(a; b) \geq \lambda\right) \leq 2P(\omega_{n_{j+1}}(a; b) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda).$$

The proofs of these inequalities are very much like those of Inequalities 3.1 and 3.2 in Einmahl and Ruymgaart (1987) and will thus be omitted.

The reader is advised to recall the notation of Theorem 5.

LEMMA 6. *Whenever $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies (1.12) and (1.13), for all $0 < c < \infty$, w.p 1,*

$$(2.18) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{-1} \omega_n(ca_n^*/n; k_n/n) \leq c^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. Choose any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ small to be specified in the following discussion. It suffices to show that $\sum P(A_j) < \infty$, where

$$A_j = \left\{ \max_{n_j < n \leq n_{j+1}} \omega_n(ca_{n_j}^*/n_j; k_{n_j}/n_j) \geq \lambda_j \right\},$$

$$\lambda_j = n_{j+1}^{-1/2} c^{1/2} (1 + 2\varepsilon) (a_{n_j}^*)^{1/2} (2l_{n_j} + \log k_{n_j})^{1/2}$$

and $n_j = [(1 + \varepsilon/2)^j]$. Now by Inequality 2 for all large j , $P(A_j) \leq 2P(B_j)$, where

$$B_j = \left\{ \omega_{n_{j+1}}(ca_{n_j}^*/n_j; k_{n_j}/n_j) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda_j \right\}.$$

Observe that by (1.13)

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 - \varepsilon)\lambda_j / (n_{j+1}^{1/2} ca_{n_j}^* n_j^{-1}) \\ &= (n_j/n_{j+1})(1 - \varepsilon)(1 + 2\varepsilon)c^{-1/2} \left((2l_{n_j} + \log k_{n_j}) / a_{n_j}^* \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.20) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \lambda_j^2 / (2ca_{n_j}^* n_j^{-1}) \sim d_{n_j} (1 - \varepsilon)^2 (1 + 2\varepsilon)^2 / (2(1 + \varepsilon/2)),$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$,

and

$$(2.21) \quad k_{n_j} / (ca_{n_j}^*) = (k_{n_j} / \log \log n_j)^{1/2} c^{-1}.$$

Applying Inequality 1, we have

$$P(B_j) \leq K \frac{c^{-1}k_{n_j}}{a_{n_j}^*} \exp\left(-\frac{n_j(1-\varepsilon)^2\lambda_j^2}{2ca_{n_j}^*} \psi\left(\frac{n_j(1-\varepsilon)\lambda_j}{cn_{j+1}^{1/2}a_{n_j}^*}\right)\right),$$

which by using the fact that $\psi(x) \uparrow 1$ as $x \downarrow 0$ combined with (2.19)–(2.21) is for all large enough j less than

$$Kc^{-1}(k_{n_j}/l_{n_j})^{1/2} \exp(-2^{-1}(1+\delta)d_{n_j}),$$

for some $\delta > 0$ depending on $\varepsilon > 0$ as long as ε is chosen small enough. This last term is for all sufficiently large j less than $Kc^{-1}(\log n_j)^{-(1+\delta)}$. Since

$$\sum (\log n_j)^{-(1+\delta)} < \infty,$$

we see that (2.18) follows from the Borel–Cantelli lemma. \square

LEMMA 7. *Whenever $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies (1.12) and (1.13), wp 1,*

$$\begin{aligned} (2.22) \quad & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} (n/k_n)^{1/2} |\beta_n(s)| l_n^{-1/2} \\ & = 2^{1/2}(1-\gamma)^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ & = 2^{-1/2}\gamma^{-1/2}, \quad 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. First, consider the case $\gamma = 0$. From equation (2) on page 584 of SW (1986), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.23) \quad & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} |\beta_n(s)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} |\alpha_n(U_n(s))| + n^{-1/2} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq U_n(k_n/n)} |\alpha_n(t)| + n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.23) in combination with the fact that for all $\lambda > 1$, wp 1,

$$(2.24) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \lambda k_n/n} (n/k_n)^{1/2} |\alpha_n(s)| l_n^{-1/2} = 2^{1/2},$$

cf. Einmahl and Mason (1988), and Fact 1(ii), yields (2.22) for the case $\gamma = 0$.

When $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, it can be inferred from Finkelstein (1971) that wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.25) \quad & \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \lambda k_n/n} |\alpha_n(s)| l_n^{-1/2} \\ & = (2\gamma(1-\gamma))^{1/2}, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ & = 2^{-1/2}, \quad 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Fact 1(ii), wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.26) \quad & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(k_n/n \wedge 2^{-1}) l_n^{-1/2} = (2\gamma(1-\gamma))^{1/2}, \quad 0 < \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ & = 2^{-1/2}, \quad 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.22) follows from Fact 1(ii), (2.25) and (2.26) in this case. \square

Set for $0 < k_n \leq n$,

$$R_n^*(k_n) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} |\alpha_n(s) - \alpha_n(U_n(s))|.$$

LEMMA 8. Whenever $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies (1.12) and (1.13), wp 1,

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n^{-1} R_n^*(k_n) &\leq 2^{1/4}(1 - \gamma)^{1/4}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ &\leq 2^{-1/4}\gamma^{-1/4}, & 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Choose any $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 7, for almost every ω there exists an N_ω such that for all $n \geq N_\omega$,

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq k_n/n} |U_n(s) - s| \leq ca_n^*/n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c &= (1 + \varepsilon)2^{1/2}(1 - \gamma)^{1/2}, & 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1/2, \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon)2^{-1/2}\gamma^{-1/2}, & 1/2 < \gamma \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Assertion (2.27) is now an immediate consequence of Lemma 6. \square

Since by (2.6), $R_n(k_n) = R_n^*(k_n) + O(n^{-1/2})$, this last lemma completes the proof of the upper bounds in Theorem 5.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. First, we assume $\nu = 1/2$. Consider part (I). In this case, by Fact 1(i), wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} k_n^{1/2} |\tilde{v}_n(s)|/l_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} nU_n(k_n/n)/l_n = 1.$$

Next, we prove part (II) when $\nu = 1/2$. The appropriate lower bound results for the lim sup follow from Fact 1(ii) and (iv). Now we turn to the upper bound part of the proof of (II), and first assume that $c < \infty$. Choose any $0 < \delta < 1$. Note that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta} |\tilde{v}_n(s)|l_n^{-1/2} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nU_n(\delta k_n/n) + \delta k_n)/(k_n l_n)^{1/2},$$

which by Fact 1(iv) is wp 1 less than or equal to $\delta c^{1/2}(1 + \alpha_{\delta c}^+)$. Since $\lambda \alpha_\lambda^+ \downarrow 1$ as $\lambda \downarrow 0$ and $\lambda^{1/2}(\alpha_\lambda^+ - 1) > \lambda^{-1/2}$, we see that for all $\delta > 0$ small enough $\delta c^{1/2}(1 + \alpha_{\delta c}^+) < c^{-1/2} < c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1)$. Hence, to finish the proof of the upper bound result when $c < \infty$, it suffices to prove that for all $0 < \delta < 1$, wp 1,

$$(2.28) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\delta \leq s \leq 1} |\tilde{v}_n(s)|l_n^{-1/2} \leq c^{1/2}(\alpha_c^+ - 1).$$

We follow the steps of the proof of Lemma 1. Write $\varepsilon_k = \delta^{-1/k} - 1$ and

$c_{j,k} = \delta c(1 + \varepsilon_k)^j$. It is clear that for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1, k \geq 1$,

$$\sup_{c_{j,k} \leq s \leq c_{j+1,k}} \tilde{v}_n(s) l_n^{-1/2} \leq \tilde{v}_n(c_{j+1,k}) l_n^{-1/2} + c^{-1/2} \varepsilon_k c_{j,k}.$$

Applying Fact 1(iv), we have wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.29) \quad & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{c_{j,k} \leq s \leq c_{j+1,k}} \tilde{v}_n(s) l_n^{-1/2} \\ & \leq (c_{j+1,k}/c)^{1/2} c_{j+1,k}^{1/2} (\alpha_{c_{j+1,k}} - 1) + \varepsilon_k c^{1/2} \\ & \leq c^{1/2} (\alpha_c^+ - 1) + \varepsilon_k c^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown along similar lines that wp 1,

$$(2.30) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{c_{j,k} \leq s \leq c_{j+1,k}} -\tilde{v}_n(s) l_n^{-1/2} \leq 1 + \varepsilon_k c^{1/2}.$$

Combining (2.29) and (2.30) with the fact that $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ completes the proof of part (II) when $c < \infty$ and $\nu = 1/2$. The case when $c = \infty$ is already proven in Lemma 7. This completes the proof of part (II) when $\nu = 1/2$.

The proof of part (III) when $\nu = 1/2$ is a direct consequence of Lemma 7 and Corollary 2 of Mason (1988). (Obviously, the arguments just given work with \tilde{v}_n replaced by v_n when $\nu = 1/2$.)

For the case when $0 < \nu < 1/2$, we need a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 9. *Let $0 < \nu < 1/2$. Then we have wp 1,*

$$(2.31) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(n+1)^{-1} \leq s \leq l_n/n} n^\nu |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) = 1.$$

PROOF. The lower bound is immediate from Fact 1(i). For the upper bound, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(n+1)^{-1} \leq s \leq l_n/n} n^\nu n^{1/2} s / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) = 0,$$

it suffices to prove that wp 1,

$$(2.32) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(n+1)^{-1} \leq s \leq l_n/n} n^{1/2+\nu} U_n(s) / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) \leq 1.$$

By Fact 1(i) again, wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.33) \quad & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(n+1)^{-1} \leq s \leq l_n^{1/2}/n} n^{1/2+\nu} U_n(s) / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n U_n(l_n^{1/2}/n) / l_n = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and by Fact 1(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} (2.34) \quad & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n^{1/2}/n \leq s \leq l_n/n} n^{1/2+\nu} U_n(s) / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n U_n(l_n/n) l_n^{\nu/2-5/4} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.33) and (2.34) yields (2.32). \square

LEMMA 10. *Let $0 < \nu < 1/2$, $1 \leq k_n \leq n$, $k_n \uparrow$, $k_n/n \downarrow 0$ and $k_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow c \in (0, \infty]$. Then wp 1,*

$$(2.35) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq k_n/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) = 2^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. The lower bound is a consequence of Fact 1(iii). Next, set $m_n = k_n/\log k_n$. Observe that

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq m_n/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq m_n/n} (m_n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s)| / ((sl_n)^{1/2}) \\ & \leq (\log k_n)^{-\nu} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\alpha_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From Fact 4(ii), we see that this last term is equal to 0 wp 1.

Similarly, we have

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m_n/n \leq s \leq k_n/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m_n/n \leq s \leq k_n/n} |\alpha_n(s)| / (sl_n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward application of Inequalities 2.8 and 2.10 in Einmahl (1987b) shows that the right side of inequality (2.37) is less than or equal to $2^{1/2}$, wp 1. \square

LEMMA 11. *Under the conditions of Lemma 10, wp 1,*

$$(2.38) \quad \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq k_n/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) = o(1).$$

PROOF. For the proof, we will require the already proven upper bounds in Theorem 5. First, assume $1/4 < \nu < 1/2$. Theorem 5 and the last stated assumption on k_n in Lemma 10 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq k_n/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) \\ & \leq n^{1/2} k_n^{-\nu} l_n^{-1+\nu} R_n(k_n) \\ & = O(k_n^{-\nu+1/4} l_n^{-3/4+\nu} (\log(k_n \log n))^{1/2}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the case $0 < \nu \leq 1/4$ is more intricate. Observe that it suffices to show that (2.38) holds when the supremum is taken over $[cl_n/n, k_n^{3\nu}/n]$ or $[k_n^\alpha/n, k_n^{\alpha+\nu}/n]$ for arbitrary $\alpha \in [3\nu, 1 - \nu]$, since the interval $[l_n/n, k_n/n]$ can be written as the finite union of intervals of this form.

First, consider $[l_n/n, k_n^{3\nu}/n]$. From Theorem 5, we have wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq k_n^{3\nu}/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) \\ & \leq n^{1/2} k_n^{-\nu} l_n^{-1+\nu} R_n(k_n^{3\nu}) = O((\log(k_n \log n))^{1/2} k_n^{-\nu/4} l_n^{-3/4+\nu}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

For the interval $[k_n^\alpha/n, k_n^{\alpha+\nu}/n]$ for $3\nu \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \nu$, we get wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{k_n^\alpha/n \leq s \leq k_n^{\alpha+\nu}/n} (n/k_n)^\nu |\alpha_n(s) + \beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) \\ & \leq n^{1/2} k_n^{-\nu-\alpha(1/2-\nu)} l_n^{-1/2} R_n(k_n^{\alpha+\nu}) \\ & = O((\log(k_n \log n))^{1/2} l_n^{-1/4} k_n^{-\gamma}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \nu + \alpha(1/2 - \nu) - 1/4(\alpha + \nu) \geq (3/2)\nu(1 - 2\nu)$. Routine bounds verify that this last term is $o(1)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We are now prepared to finish the proof of Theorem 3 for the case $0 < \nu < 1/2$. Consider part (I). The lower bound again follows from Fact 1(i). For the upper bound define $h_n = k_n \vee l_n$ and observe that $h_n^{2\nu}/l_n \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 9, it is sufficient to prove that wp 1,

$$(2.39) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq h_n/n} n^\nu |\beta_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n) = 0.$$

The left side of (2.39) is less than or equal to

$$(2.40) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h_n^{2\nu}/l_n)^{1/2} \sup_{l_n/n \leq s \leq 1/2} |\beta_n(s)| / (s l_n)^{1/2}.$$

From Theorem 2, part (II), we conclude that the limit in (2.40) is 0, wp 1.

Part (II) follows from Lemmas 9–11.

Finally, consider part (III). From part (II), with $c = \infty$, it is apparent that for $0 < \delta < 1$, wp 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta} |\tilde{\alpha}_n(s)| / (s^{1/2-\nu} l_n^{1/2}) = 2^{1/2} \delta^\nu.$$

This, in combination with the already proven part (III) for the case $\nu = 1/2$, completes the proof of part (III) for $0 < \nu < 1/2$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. For the proof of part (I), we assume that we are on a rich enough probability space such that statement (1.10) holds with $q = 1$. [Such probability spaces exist, cf. Csörgő and Révész (1981).] Now choose any $q \in \mathcal{Q}^*$. Clearly, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that wp 1,

$$(2.41) \quad \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq \delta} |\tilde{\beta}_n(s)| / (q(s) l_n^{1/2}) = 0$$

and

$$(2.42) \quad \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq \delta} \left| n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(s) \right| / (q(s)l_n^{1/2}) = 0.$$

Statement (2.41) is immediate from (1.3) of Theorem 1. To prove (2.42), we use the fact that for any $0 < \delta < \infty$, wp 1,

$$(2.43) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq \delta} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(s) \right| / (4sn \log \log(n/s))^{1/2} \leq 1,$$

cf. Corollary 1.15.2 on page 81 of Csörgő and Révész (1981). Hence to establish (2.42), it is enough to verify that

$$(2.44) \quad \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 < s \leq \delta} (\log \log(n/s))^{1/2} / (\log \log(1/s)l_n)^{1/2} = 0.$$

Observe that since $n/s \leq s^{-2}$ for $0 < s < 1/n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < s \leq 1/n} (\log \log(n/s))^{1/2} / (\log \log(1/s)l_n)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sup_{0 < s \leq 1/n} (\log(2 \log(1/s)))^{1/2} / (\log \log(1/s)l_n)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, for $1/n \leq s \leq \delta \leq 1/3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1/n \leq s \leq \delta} (\log \log(n/s))^{1/2} / (\log \log(1/s)l_n)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log(2 \log n))^{1/2} / (\log \log(1/\delta)l_n)^{1/2} = (\log \log(1/\delta))^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right side of this inequality goes to 0 as $\delta \downarrow 0$, we see that we have proven (2.44). This finishes the proof of part (I).

The proof of part (II) proceeds very much like that of part (I). Briefly, it follows directly from Theorem 1 of Mason (1988), Theorem 5, Lemma 7 of Mason (1988) and Theorem 3, part (III). \square

PROOF OF THE LOWER BOUNDS IN THEOREM 5. In order to finish the proof of Theorem 5, we need one more lemma. For $a, b > 0$ with $a + b \leq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, set

$$\tilde{\omega}_n(a, b, \varepsilon) = \sup_{(1-\varepsilon)b \leq s \leq b} |\alpha_n(s+a) - \alpha_n(s)|.$$

LEMMA 12. *Whenever $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfies (1.15), for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $0 < c < \infty$, wp 1,*

$$(2.45) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_n(ca_n^*/n, k_n/n, \varepsilon) = c^{1/2},$$

where $s_n = (a_n^*b_n/n)^{1/2}$.

PROOF. Since $\omega_n(ca_n^*/n; k_n/n) \geq \tilde{\omega}_n(ca_n^*/n, k_n/n, \varepsilon)$, by Lemma 6 to prove (2.45), it is sufficient to show that wp 1,

$$(2.46) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{-1} \tilde{\omega}_n(ca_n^*/n, k_n/n, \varepsilon) \geq c^{1/2}.$$

Choose any $0 < \delta < 1$ and set $m_n = ca_n^*$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{\omega}_n(ca_n^*/n, k_n/n, \varepsilon) \leq ((1 - \delta)c)^{1/2} s_n) \\ \leq P\left(\max_{(1-\varepsilon)k_n/m_n \leq j \leq k_n/m_n} |\alpha_n((j + 1)m_n/n) - \alpha_n(jm_n/n)| \leq ((1 - \delta)c)^{1/2} s_n\right), \end{aligned}$$

which by Mallows (1968) is less than or equal to

$$\left(P(\alpha_n(m_n/n) \leq ((1 - \delta)c)^{1/2} s_n)\right)^{M_n},$$

where $M_n = \varepsilon k_n / (2m_n)$. By an application of an inequality due to Kolmogorov, cf. Einmahl (1987b), page 70, this last term is for large n less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \{1 - \exp(-(1 + \delta)(1 - \delta)b_n / (2(1 - ca_n^*/n)))\}^{M_n} \\ \leq \exp(-\varepsilon k_n^{\delta^2/3} / (2cl_n^{1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

The Borel–Cantelli lemma and the arbitrary choice of $0 < \delta < 1$ complete the proof of (2.46). \square

We are now prepared to prove statements (1.16) and (1.17). It is enough to show that they hold with $R_n(k_n)$ replaced by $R_n^*(k_n)$. First, consider the case $\gamma = 0$. We shall adapt the methods of Shorack (1982b). Choose any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} h_\varepsilon(s) &= 1 - \varepsilon, & 1 - \varepsilon < s \leq 1, \\ &= s, & 0 \leq s \leq 1 - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $h_\varepsilon \in K[0, 1]$. Lemma 12 gives that wp 1,

$$(2.47) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 - \varepsilon \leq s \leq 1} s_n^{-1} |\alpha_n(sk_n/n) - \alpha_n(sk_n/n + (2l_n k_n)^{1/2} h_\varepsilon(s)/n)| \\ = 2^{1/4} (1 - \varepsilon)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let g_n be any sequence of functions such that $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |g_n(s)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, since $s_n/r_n \rightarrow 1$, we obtain by Lemma 6 that wp 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 - \varepsilon \leq s \leq 1} s_n^{-1} |\alpha_n(sk_n/n + (2l_n k_n)^{1/2} (h_\varepsilon(s) + g_n(s))/n) \\ - \alpha_n(sk_n/n + (2l_n k_n)^{1/2} h_\varepsilon(s)/n)| = 0, \end{aligned}$$